

The Future of Trade and Competition in the Digital Economy

Pradeep S Mehta

**Secretary General, CUTS International and,
Chairman, CUTS Institute for Regulation &
Competition**

**CUTS Institute for
Regulation & Competition (CIRC)**



CIRC Working Papers summarize the research results-in-progress by researchers and seek to stimulate discussion of a range of issues related to CIRC's thematic focus areas.

CIRC Working Papers represent the views of the author/s and do not necessarily represent a policy position of the institute.

Comments on this CIRC Working Paper are welcome and can be sent to circ@circ.in

The digital economy is reshaping the global landscape of trade and competition, driven by rapid technological advancements and evolving geopolitical dynamics. As nations recalibrate their economic strategies to address new challenges and opportunities, questions surrounding data governance, market regulation, and cross-border digital flows have become central to policy debates. This paper explores the interplay between trade and competition in the digital era, with a particular focus on the strategic roles of major global powers, emerging economies, and domestic policy frameworks. Drawing on insights from CUTS International's research and global dialogues, the paper aims to inform a balanced policy approach that fosters innovation, promotes fair competition, and safeguards the openness of the global digital economy.

The author presented these views at WTO Conference: Competition & Trade in the 21st Century- by GW Competition & Innovation Lab in Geneva on 7th March, 2025.

Comments are welcome at psm@cuts.org.

CREDITS

The findings and insights presented in this paper are drawn from research, publications, and dialogues facilitated by CUTS International, whose contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

CIRC Working Papers can be found at: <https://circ.in>

ABSTRACT

The intersection of trade, competition, and the digital economy has become increasingly complex in the face of geopolitical tensions and shifting domestic political imperatives. This working paper discusses the evolving landscape of trade and competition, particularly in digital markets and data governance, amidst the backdrop of rising global uncertainty. It draws from key insights gained through a series of webinars and publications hosted by CUTS International to explore the fundamental drivers shaping the future of the global trading system. Special focus is placed on the roles of the U.S. and China in shaping the digital economy, the impact of national security provisions, and the emerging role of countries like India in filling the gaps created by shifting global supply chains. Additionally, the paper examines how domestic policies, industrial strategies, and technological interventions, including digital public infrastructures (DPIs), affect digital competition. The paper concludes with recommendations for creating a global framework that fosters competition and trade while mitigating the risks of protectionism

1. Introduction

The global trading system is currently at crossroads, influenced by both geopolitical dynamics and domestic political imperatives. As trade and competition continue to be central to the evolution of the digital economy, understanding the drivers behind these shifts is crucial. The digital economy is increasingly defined by cross-border data flows, global e-commerce platforms, and the proliferation of digital technologies. However, global uncertainties—exemplified by the advent of Trump-II and his impact on the multilateral trading system—have introduced significant risks to digital trade and competition. This paper examines the intersection of trade, competition, and the digital economy, drawing upon CUTS' research and recent international dialogues on trade, competition, and inequality.

2. Geopolitical Factors and the Digital Economy

2.1. U.S.-China Rivalry and Its Impact on Digital Competition

The United States and China are the dominant global players shaping the digital economy, particularly through the provision of critical digital products such as mobile operating systems, cloud services, and AI technologies. Innovations originating in Silicon Valley have been rapidly adopted and adapted by China, which has leveraged its manufacturing capabilities to create competitive digital products. This bilateral rivalry has far-reaching implications for global trade in digital products.

The U.S. has implemented trade restrictions, particularly in high-end semiconductor chips and cutting-edge technologies, with China as the primary target. These restrictions have the potential to disrupt global supply chains and may create competition gaps in the digital economy. However, some indicators suggest that China is at the forefront of certain emerging technologies, potentially offsetting the impact of these restrictions in the medium term.

2.2. The Role of Europe and the Global Supply Chain

Europe's response to the digital divide created by U.S.-China competition is particularly noteworthy. The European Union (EU) is striving to reduce its dependence on the U.S. and has increasingly emphasized its competition laws, such as the Digital Markets Act (DMA) and the Digital Services Act (DSA). The EU's desire to foster technological independence could introduce new competition dynamics in the digital economy.

Similarly, countries like India are positioning themselves as emerging players in global digital markets, capitalising on the West's "China-plus-one" or "friend-shoring" policies. India, in particular, is aiming to be a significant supplier of digital infrastructure, including semiconductor chips and AI technologies, potentially reshaping the competitive landscape.

2.3 Cross-Border Data Flows and Digital Trade

Cross-border data flows are fundamental to global e-commerce. Restrictions on data protection provisions, such as those seen in the U.S. withdrawal from negotiations on the WTO Joint Statement

Initiative (JSI) on e-commerce, threaten to undermine digital trade. These data governance policies, shaped by geopolitical considerations, have significant implications for digital competition and global trade relations.

3. Domestic Political Imperatives and Digital Market Regulation

3.1. National Industrial Policies and Local Manufacturing

In response to domestic political imperatives, many countries are shifting towards policies that favour local manufacturing to create jobs and economic growth. This approach is particularly evident in the digital economy, where countries are increasingly fostering national champions—large, competitive firms in digital markets.

While this focus on fostering national champions may create globally competitive platforms in the long run, it also carries risks. Domestic policies favoring local firms can distort competition, particularly when they draw sharp distinctions between domestic and foreign entities. This can result in a fragmented digital economy where global competition is undermined by protectionist policies.

3.2. Regulatory Approaches to Digital Competition

Countries are grappling with how to regulate digital markets in ways that balance innovation with competition. For instance, the European Union's approach to ex-ante regulation under the Digital Markets Act is seen as a model for regulating the behaviour of large digital platforms. However, this approach has raised concerns in other countries like India, where the burgeoning startup ecosystem may be negatively impacted by stringent regulatory frameworks. South Korea, in contrast, has opted for amendments to its competition law to address digital market dominance, rather than implementing ex-ante regulations.

3.3. Technological Interventions for Promoting Competition

In addition to regulatory efforts, technological interventions such as Digital Public Infrastructures (DPIs) are emerging as powerful tools for fostering competition. India's Universal Payment Interface (UPI) is a prime example, enabling interoperability and reducing the potential for market dominance in the digital payments sector. Such technological initiatives represent an important aspect of the future competition landscape in the digital economy.

4. The Way Forward: Policy Recommendations

The future of trade and competition in the digital economy hinges on the ability of global policymakers to navigate both geopolitical tensions and domestic political pressures. To create a more equitable and competitive digital economy, the following recommendations are proposed.

To begin with, a rules-based multilateral trading system remains the best option for fostering global cooperation and competition despite current challenges. A new global compact must be developed, one that accommodates the diverse interests of global powers while promoting digital trade and competition.

Next, to reduce the impact of protectionist policies, it is essential to create a global understanding on the interpretation of 'national security' provisions. Such collaboration can help prevent the overuse of these provisions to create trade restrictions, thus promoting global competition.

Moreover, countries should aim to foster domestic innovation through policies that encourage competition, rather than simply shielding local firms from foreign competition. Balancing national economic priorities with the need for global market access is crucial for sustaining long-term digital competition.

Lastly, technological interventions such as DPIs should be leveraged to promote market competition. These interventions, while not a substitute for regulation, can complement policy efforts by creating a more inclusive, competitive and enabling digital environment.

5. Conclusion

As global trade and competition are increasingly shaped by the digital economy, the convergence of geopolitical factors and domestic political imperatives is creating both challenges and opportunities. In this dynamic landscape, it is essential for policymakers to prioritise competition and trade as central components of their economic strategies. Both are critical for job creation, economic development, and the reduction of inequality. The global community must work together to navigate these challenges, ensuring that the digital economy remains open, competitive, and equitable for all stakeholders.